	Application No.		1	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	mary	09/380,614	,	ALBY, ALBERT	
		Examiner	4	Art Unit	1
		Nicholas Woodall		3733	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>					
(1) <u>Nicholas Woodall</u> .		(3) <u>Eduardo Robert</u> .			
(2) <u>John Schaefer</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 9 May 2007		Time:			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes	☐ Applic	ant's representative)			
If Yes, provide a brief description:		·			
Part I.				•	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: 1-6					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS [DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					1
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to find the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	ation. Th separate	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written of the in	summary of that	ne substance
/Evaminar/CDE Signature	(Applica-	t/Annligant's Pantagerts	tivo Sico	octuro if on-	oprioto)
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Abblican	t/Applicant's Representa	uve oign	iature – ii appro	opriate),

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Schaefer regarding a possible examiner's amendment to claim 1 to place the application into allowance. After an updated search the examiner found priort art that teaches a nut with a plate mounted in rotation within the bore of the nut that is capable of being combined with similar prior art references lacking that specific feature. The applicant's representative did not feel comfortable granting the examiner's amendment and requested a non-final office action be drafted and mailed out.